UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.     | FILING DATE                  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.  | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/667,741          | 09/22/2003                   | Bill H. Hilf         | BOC9-2003-0020 (389) | 1884             |
| 40987<br>AKERMAN SI | 7590 02/19/2008<br>ENTERFITT |                      | EXAMINER             |                  |
| P. O. BOX 3188      |                              |                      | ANWARI, MACEEH       |                  |
| WEST PALM           | BEACH, FL 33402-318          | 8                    | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                     |                              |                      | 2144                 |                  |
|                     |                              |                      |                      |                  |
|                     |                              |                      | MAIL DATE            | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                     |                              |                      | 02/19/2008           | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  |  |   | $a \sim \sim$ |
|--|--|---|---------------|
|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |               |
| i di   | 10/667,741   | HILF ET AL:   |               |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |               |
|  | Maceeh Anwari  | 2144  |               |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication   | appears on the cover sheet v   | ith the correspondence addres   | SS            |
| Period for Reply   |  |   |               |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUN<br>1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a<br>11.136(a) in no event, however, may a<br>11.136(a). In no event, however, h | ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this commu. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |               |
| Status   |  |   |               |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |  |   |               |
|  | his action is non-final.   |   |               |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow  | wance except for formal ma   | iters, prosecution as to the me   | erits is      |
| closed in accordance with the practice unde  | er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.  | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |               |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |   |               |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the applicati   | ion  | •   |               |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without   |  | •   |               |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |   |               |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.  |  |   |               |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  | •  |   |               |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an  | d/or election requirement.   | • •   |               |
| Application Papers   |  |   | •             |
|  | inor   |   |               |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed onis/ are: a) ☐ a  |  | by the Examiner.  |               |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to  |  |   | ,             |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con-  |  |   | .121(d).      |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the  | Examiner. Note the attache   | ed Office Action or form PTO-1  | 152.          |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |   |               |
| •  | ion priority under 25 H.C.C.   | S 110(a) (d) or (f)   | •             |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  | igh phonty under 35 0.5.C.   | 3 119(a)-(u) or (i).  | •             |
| 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume   | ents have been received.   |   |               |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docume   |  | Application No  | •             |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p   |  |   | ge            |
| application from the International Bur   | eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |   |               |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a  | list of the certified copies no  | t received.   |               |
|  |  |   |               |
|  |  |   |               |
| Attachment(s)  |  |   |               |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  |  | Summary (PTO-413)   |               |
| <ul> <li>2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>  |  | (s)/Mail Date<br>Informal Patent Application  |               |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 6)  Other:   |   |               |
|  |  |   |               |

10/667,741 Art Unit: 2144

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to the amendments filed on 1/24/2008. Claims 1, 3, 10, 11, 13 & 20-22 have been amended. No other claims have been amended, canceled, or newly presented. Accordingly, claims 1-22 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 11-20 recites the limitation "machine-readable storage" in the preamble of the claims. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101.

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claims lack the necessary physical articles or objects to constitute a machine or a manufacture within the meaning of 35 USC 101. They are clearly not a series of steps or acts to be a process nor are they a combination of chemical compounds to be a composition of matter. As such, they fail to fall within a statutory category. They are, at best, functional descriptive material *per se*.

10/667,741 Art Unit: 2144

Descriptive material can be characterized as either "functional descriptive material" or "non-functional descriptive material." Both types of "descriptive material" are non-statutory when claimed as descriptive material *per se*, 33 F.3d at 1360, 31 USPQ2d at 1759. When <u>functional</u> descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium, it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. Compare *In re Lowry*, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994)

Merely claiming non-functional descriptive material, i.e., abstract ideas, stored on a computer-readable medium, in a computer, or on an electromagnetic carrier signal, does not make it statutory. See *Diehr*, 450 U.S. at 185-86, 209 USPQ at 8 (noting that the claims for an algorithm in *Benson* were unpatentable as abstract ideas because "[t]he sole practical application of the algorithm was in connection with the programming of a general purpose computer.").

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1- 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ho et al. (hereinafter Ho) U.S. Patent No.: 6,029,043.

10/667,741 Art Unit: 2144

Ho teaches:

Claim 1: A method for creating user groups in a network environment comprising the steps of: identifying at least one affinity among a plurality of user sessions, each session being associated with a different user (Figures 1- 2 and Abstract & par. 7 & 27; group learning environment and separate/designated communication sessions); and responsive to said affinity identification, prompting a plurality of users of user sessions having the identified affinity to engage in communication (Figures 1- 4 & 8 and Abstract & par. 23-24; interaction controller).

Claim 2: Further comprising the step of monitoring said plurality of user sessions (Figures 1- 4 & 8 and Abstract & par. 23-24; interaction controller, report generator and performance analyzer).

Claim 3: Wherein said prompting step further comprises the step of providing access to an interaction application for at least two of said plurality of user sessions responsive to said identified affinity (Figures 1- 4 & 8 and Abstract & par. 23-24; interaction controller and client communication controller).

Claim 4: Wherein said prompting step further comprises the step of establishing a communication pathway to facilitate real time communications between users associated with said at least two user sessions (Figures 1- 4 & 8 and Abstract & par. 23-24; interaction controller).

Claim 5: Wherein said step of establishing a communication pathway further comprises the step of opening at least one of a chat session, an instant

10/667,741 Art Unit: 2144

messaging session, a newsgroup, and a shared application (Figures 1- 4 & 8 and Abstract & par. 7 & 23-24 & 27; interaction controller and client communication controller).

Claim 6: Wherein said identifying step includes the step of evaluating session cookies associated with said user sessions (Figures 1- 4 & 8 and Abstract & par. 23-24; interaction controller, report generator and performance analyzer).

Claim 7: Wherein said identifying step includes the step of evaluating session objects associated with said user sessions (Figures 1- 4 & 8 and Abstract & par. 23-24; interaction controller, report generator and performance analyzer).

Claim 8: Wherein said session objects comprise at least one datum selected from the group consisting of a URL which has been accessed, an amount of time on-line, an item in a shopping cart, and a user identifier (Figures 1- 4 & 8 and

Claim 9: Wherein said user identifier comprises at least one of a postal code, an area code, a city, a county, a state, a province, a country and a continent (Figures 1- 8 and Abstract & par. 30 & 115; summarized profile and registered address).

Abstract & par. 23-24; interaction controller).

Claims 10- 22 are substantially the same as claims 1- 9 and are thus rejected for reasons similar to those in rejecting claims 1- 9.

Examiner Note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from

10/667,741 Art Unit: 2144

the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in its entirety as potentially teaching of all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to **claim 1-22** have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Furthermore regarding the 35 USC § 101 rejections to claims 21-22 applicant arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that claims 21-22 recite a system which consists of different means or modules and thus has the necessary physical articles or objects to constitute a machine or a manufacture within the meaning of 35 USC § 101.

In response to applicant's argument, and upon further examination of the instant claims and specification, the applicant has indicated that the modules are simply software (Par. 14); and even further indicates that the affinity application can be executable software or firmware (Par. 17). Therefore, examiner maintains the 35 USC § 101 rejection.

7. Applicant has had an opportunity to amend the claimed subject matter, and has failed to modify the claim language to distinguish over the prior art of record by clarifying or substantially narrowing the claim language. Thus, Applicant apparently intends that a broad interpretation be given to the claims and the Examiner has adopted such in the present and previous Office action rejections. See In re Prater and Wei, 162 USPQ 541 (CCPA 1969), and MPEP 2111.

10/667,741 Art Unit: 2144

- 8. Applicant employs broad language, which includes the use of word, and phrases, which have broad meanings in the art. In addition, Applicant has not argued any narrower interpretation of the claim language, nor amended the claims significantly enough to construe a narrower meaning to the limitations. As the claims breadth allows multiple interpretations and meanings, which are broader than Applicant's disclosure, the Examiner is forced to interpret the claim limitations as broadly and as reasonably possible, in determining patentability of the disclosed invention. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir.1993).
- 9. Failure for Applicant to significantly narrow definition/scope of the claims and supply arguments commensurate in scope with the claims implies the Applicant intends broad interpretation be given to the claims. The Examiner has interpreted the claims with scope parallel to the Applicant in the response, and reiterates the need for the Applicant to more clearly and distinctly defines the claimed invention.

### Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

10/667,741 Art Unit: 2144

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maceeh Anwari whose telephone number is 571-272-7591. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-5:00 PM ES.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Vaughn can be reached on 571-272-3922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,741 Art Unit: 2144

M.A.

Page 9

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100